

http://www.teklabinc.com/

June 21, 2021

Carolyn Green Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association P.O. Box 5 Edwardsville, IL 62025 TEL: (618) 791-1398 FAX:

RE: Lake Water Dunlap Lake



WorkOrder: 21060881

Dear Carolyn Green:

TEKLAB, INC received 3 samples on 6/14/2021 11:10:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Marin J. Darling I

Marvin L. Darling Project Manager (618)344-1004 ex 41 mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake

Work Order: 21060881 Report Date: 21-Jun-21

This reporting package includes the following:

| Cover Letter | 1 |
|----------------------|----------|
| Report Contents | 2 |
| Definitions | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Accreditations | 6 |
| Laboratory Results | 7 |
| Receiving Check List | 10 |
| Chain of Custody | Appended |



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association

Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake

Work Order: 21060881

Report Date: 21-Jun-21

Abbr Definition

- * Analytes on report marked with an asterisk are not NELAP accredited
- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- CRQL A Client Requested Quantitation Limit is a reporting limit that varies according to customer request. The CRQL may not be less than the MDL.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilution factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is a replicate aliquot prepared under the same laboratory conditions and independently analyzed to obtain a measure of precision.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample is a sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes and analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system.
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL "The method detection limit is defined as the minimum measured concentration of a substance that can be reported with 99% confidence that the measured concentration is distinguishable from method blank results."
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- NC Data is not acceptable for compliance purposes
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
 - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions.
 - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
 - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
 - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
 - TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

eklab, Inc.

Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 21060881

Report Date: 21-Jun-21

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association

Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake

- Unknown hydrocarbon

- C RL shown is a Client Requested Quantitation Limit
- H Holding times exceeded
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- Qualifiers
 - B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
 - E Value above quantitation range
 - I Associated internal standard was outside method criteria
 - M Manual Integration used to determine area response
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - T TIC(Tentatively identified compound)



Case Narrative

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 21060881 Report Date: 21-Jun-21

| Address | Kansas City |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Address | |
| 1001000 | 8421 Nieman Road |
| | Lenexa, KS 66214 |
| Phone | (913) 541-1998 |
| Fax | (913) 541-1998 |
| Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Kentucky

Missouri

Missouri

Accreditations

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association

UST

MDNR

MDNR

Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake

Work Order: 21060881 Report Date: 21-Jun-21

Collinsville

Collinsville

Collinsville

State Cert # NELAP **Exp Date** Lab Dept Illinois IEPA 100226 NELAP 1/31/2022 Collinsville Kansas KDHE E-10374 NELAP 4/30/2022 Collinsville Louisiana LDEQ 05002 NELAP 6/30/2022 Collinsville NELAP Louisiana LDEQ 05003 6/30/2022 Collinsville 9978 NELAP Oklahoma ODEQ 8/31/2021 Collinsville Arkansas ADEQ 88-0966 3/14/2022 Collinsville Illinois IDPH 17584 5/31/2021 Collinsville

0073

00930

930

1/31/2022

5/31/2021

1/31/2022



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

| Client: Dunlap Lake | sociation | | Work Order: 21060881 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Client Project: Lake Water D | unlap Lake | | | | | Rep | ort Date: 21-Jun-21 | | | |
| Lab ID: 21060881-00 | 1 | | | Client Sam | ple ID: Samp | le 1 | | | | |
| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | | Collection | n Date: 06/14 | /2021 9 | 9:45 | | | |
| Analyses | Certification | RL | Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed Batch | | | |
| COLISCAN | | | | | | | | | | |
| E Coli | * | 10 | | < 10 | CFU/100ml | 10 | 06/14/2021 14:35 R293267 | | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N) | NELAP | 1.0 | | 1.3 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:22 178941 | | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.100 | | 0.110 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:48 178939 | | | |



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

| Client: Dunlap Lake | Property Owners Ass | sociation | | | | Wor | k Order: 21060881 | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------|------------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Client Project: Lake Water D | | Report Date: 21-Jun-21 | | | | | | | |
| Lab ID: 21060881-00 | • | | | Client Sam | ple ID: Samp | - | | | |
| | 2 | | | | | | 10-00 | | |
| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | | Collection | n Date: 06/14 | /2021 . | 10:00 | | |
| Analyses | Certification | RL | Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed Batch | | |
| COLISCAN | | | | | | | | | |
| E Coli | * | 10 | | < 10 | CFU/100ml | 10 | 06/14/2021 14:35 R293267 | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N) | NELAP | 1.0 | | 1.2 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:24 178941 | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.100 | | 0.128 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:23 178939 | | |

| Environmental Laboratory | eklab, Inc. | , |
|--------------------------|-------------|---|
|--------------------------|-------------|---|

Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

| Client: Dunlap Lake | Property Owners As | | Work Order: 21060881 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Client Project: Lake Water D | Dunlap Lake | | | | Rep | ort Date: 21-Jun-21 | | | | |
| Lab ID: 21060881-00 | 3 | | Client Sam | ple ID: Samp | le 3 | | | | | |
| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | Collection | n Date: 06/14 | l/2021 1 | 10:10 | | | | |
| Analyses | Certification | RL Qu | al Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed Batch | | | | |
| COLISCAN | | | | | | | | | | |
| E Coli | * | 10 | CG | CFU/100ml | 10 | 06/14/2021 14:35 R293267 | | | | |
| CG – Confluent growth covering a | all or part of the filtration a | area making colonie | es indiscrete, with E | . coli. | | | | | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N) | NELAP | 1.0 | 1.2 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:30 178941 | | | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.100 | 0.124 | mg/L | 1 | 06/17/2021 12:28 178939 | | | | |



Client Project: Lake Water Dunlap Lake

Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Dunlap Lake Property Owners Association

Work Order: 21060881 Report Date: 21-Jun-21

| Carrier: Employee | Rece | vived By: ER | н | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| Completed by: On: 14-Jun-21 Ellie Hopkins | | Jun-21 | Elizabeth A. T Elizabeth A. Hurley | through | |
| Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Type of thermal preservation? Chain of custody present? Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Samples in proper container/bottle? Sample containers intact? Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? All samples received within holding time? Reported field parameters measured: Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliance | Extra pages include Yes V None Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Yes V Field Yes V Yes V Total the temperature | No Ice 🗹 No No No No No Lab No | Not Present Blue Ice NA | | 14.2 |
| 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the sam Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? | | No | No VOA vials | | |
| Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 | No TOX containers | | |
| Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? | Yes | No 🗹 | NA | | |
| NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 | NA | \checkmark | |
| Any No responses i | must be detailed be | low or on the | e COC. | | |

Samples were preserved with sulfuric acid (76703) upon arrival at the laboratory. - ehopkins - 6/14/2021 11:43:29 AM

| Address: City / State / Contact: | ity / State / Zip Edwardsville, IL 62025 ontact: Carolyn Green Phone: (618) 791-1398 | | | | | | | | Pr La | eserved in: b Notes:()(| ta u taid | нв Н | ₿ FIE 280 f | UE ICE ₪ NO ELD F(7U703) EH U | FO +0 114/2 | <mark>r LAB</mark> samp ス | <u>USE 0</u> 12)、 | <u>NLY</u> Z; 3 | > | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|---------|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| re these samples re there any requ nits in the comm | known to be involved in lit known to be hazardous? ired reporting limits to be n ent section. Yes | Yes net on the reque | No ested analysis | s?. lf | yes, pl | ease p | orovide | | | | em tisa | ail t | pe o c | isults ta arolyn | | | | | 'B | чі I. Ф, |
| Project Name/NumberSample Collector's NameLake WaterDunkap LakeDunkap LakeDoux Carney | | | | | | | | MATRIX | | _ | | | | | REQUE | ESTED | | -1 | | |
| Standard Dther Lab Use Only U00881 | Sample 1 Sample 2 | Date/Time 6/14/21 6/14/21 | ructions | #a CNP 2 2 | nd Typ | | iontair | ners | Aqueous × × | | | | x | TKN X X | | | | | | |
| -003 | Sample 3 | | <u> j0 </u> 0 | 2 | | | | | × | | | × | × | X | | | | | | |
| Nor (| Relinquished By | | 6/14/: | | ite/Tir | ne //// |) | | - H | | Re | ceive D | ed By | <u> </u> | | P/ 14- | De 121 | nte/Time | 0 | |